

BIOCOSMOLOGY INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT REMARKS RELATED TO THE ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA

Paul BEAULIEU¹

In this short paper I propose some structuring ideas in relation to the preoccupation of developing a step further the institutionalization of what I will call the Biocosmology Initiative (BCA and ISBC).

Building on the foundations laid half a decade ago that brought the creation of the scientific journal “*Biocosmology – Neo-Aristotelism*” (launched in 2010) and the *International Seminar on Biocosmology* (its 8th edition will be in Seoul-November 2014), was raised recently the strategic intent to develop a research center dedicated to the global advancement of knowledge on cosmology from a perspective centered on the living and intelligent nature of the cosmos.

Biocosmology is a research perspective that envisions our Cosmos as a collective being and as a living organism infused with Universal Life. It is also a worldview that recognizes the assumption that our cosmos is impregnated by a Universal Intelligence. All civilizations of the past were aware of this living nature of our cosmos and its immanent intelligence. The Sumerians called it “EI”, the Chaldeans named it “IA”, the Rig-Veda called it “Prakriti”, and the Pythagorians who launched the Greece’s culture of antiquity designed a new path of knowledge based on the development of a self-conscious relation of individual human beings with this cosmic intelligence. This new path of knowledge developed during the “axial age”, was dedicated to the cultivation of the rational thinking of the knower in conjunction with an intense self-perfection based on a love-relation with this Cosmic Intelligence, the IA that is contained in our Cosmos. Pythagoras named this new path of knowledge Philo (intuitive experience that comes from a loving-relation) – Soph (that is contained in our Cosmos) – IA (the Universal Intelligence): *Philosophia*, The Universal Intelligence that is embedded in our Cosmos and that can be known by human beings through an intuitive-based love relation.

From that path of knowledge came out all the developments and specializations of the specific fields of knowledge that our actual global planetary civilization inherited. But they resulted in a reduced perspective mostly centered on the physical dimension of the reality. Cosmology, as one of these fields of knowledge, became limited to physical dimensions of the cosmos through the development modern materialistic worldviews in sciences and philosophies.

Individuals and communities of researchers around the world are active exploring new paths and approaches to develop an objective understanding of the life and the intelligence that animate our cosmos. The Biocosmological Initiative (BI, in abbreviation) could be the lead-community of scholars that would bring together and animate the institutionalization of the alternative life-oriented approach for the

¹ University of Quebec in Montreal, Montreal (Quebec), CANADA.

scientific understanding of our cosmos. In doing so, it could become the focal point of a global cultural awakening of the awareness of the existence of a cosmic intelligent-life (Cosmic Intelligent Life – CIL). Many voices are heard calling for a cosmic enlightenment that would bring to humanity a new conscious interaction with the life animating our cosmos, something we could call “Human-CIL” (a kind of AnthroCosmism), the cosmic intelligence and life embodied in the thinking and collective body of Humanity, creating a kind of Noosphere. This is a real civilizational challenge but as history have shown us transformational movements always started through the generous inspiration of few dedicated ones that were not afraid of bifurcating on the path of knowledge and to point toward a radically innovative perspective on reality. They always manifested courage in initiating foundational cultural changes and were able to support the moral burden of marginality in doing the right thing for the advancement of humanity.

The following sections address some issues of the organizational agenda for an institutionalization of the Biocosmology Initiative. Having in mind the early stage of our collective reflection on the organizational aspects of the development of the BI, I propose to our attention some remarks on these core organizational elements: a) the leadership position that could be assumed, b) the organizational strategic components of a future Global Center on Biocosmology, and c) finally the potential first axis of research.

Lead-community of scholars

It is crucial that the Biocosmological movement be anchored in a scientific pragmatic and ethos in order to characterize clearly its collective knowledge discussion and its shared evidence-based construction of a body of knowledge and capabilities.

The community of scholars gathered through the past activities of the Biocosmological Initiative should be emulated in a leading role dedicated to the development of the community of researchers that are aligning their research and scientific communications toward a life perspective on our cosmos.

The *Biocosmology Association* should stay the main organization to be used to recruit and regroup on a global scope the scholars and researchers that want to be part of the scientific debate in the field of Biocosmological studies. A possibility could be to transform the name of the association to better reflect its international scope of membership and its openness to the diversity of cultural perspectives: The *International Biocosmology Society*.

The BCA should emulate formal Interest groups on specific thematic subjects and/or on a regional affiliation’s base. These specific interest groups would generate projects for thematic seminar and/or publication (books or special issues of the *Biocosmology Journal*).

The main scientific communication organ of the Association should remain the journal “*Biocosmology – neo-Aristotelism*”. At the same time, its orientation should not be exclusively focused on Aristotelian doctrine and should reflect a broader

inclusiveness of the diversity of perspectives (philosophical or scientific) dedicated to the study of the living aspects and dynamic of the cosmos.

The Association should have a dedicated program for recruitment, participation, and sponsorship of excellence for graduate students.

Organizational strategic elements

There are many options available for the development of the organizational resources of the Biocosmological Initiative. Certainly, the implementation of a well-funded research international centre on the general thematic of biocosmology would permit the passage from the actual goodwill mode of organization to a more sustainable type of resources mobilization. The large-scale and spectrum of the Biocosmological problematic as well as the responsibility that they put on the shoulders of the Association requires the organization of a permanent team of researchers and supporting-staff in a permanent organizational entity.

In respect of the Russian leadership that served as the initial entrepreneurial focal point for the emergence phase of the Biocosmological Initiative through the total implication of Dr Khroutski, I think that this Centre should have a Eurasian anchorage. It is not a fortuity that the BI took root in Veliky Novgorod. The next wave of civilization that will expand in a farther future should normally spring from the North-West part of Eurasia and spread its lead-influence to the Nordic part of Eurasia.

My proposal for the establishment of the *International Centre on Biocosmology Studies* is to locate its main office and staff at the *L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University* in Astana Kazakhstan and to conduct a permanent high level research seminar in Veliky Novgorod.

The choice of the *L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University* (ENU) is based on the following reasons:

- The Eurasian University is a well-funded higher-education institution since its creation in 2003 and is fully supported by the President of Kazakhstan for becoming a high standard research attractor.
- Its mandate is to serve as a linking and focal point for Eurasian development and to serve as a scientific bridge-institution between Europe and Asia.
- The patron of the Eurasian University, L.N. Gumilyov, was an ardent researcher with a civilizational perspective on cosmology and the future of humanity.
- Astana is a city strongly looking to the future and investing on a large scale to become a meeting place for international activities and specially those dedicated to the dialogue between the Occident and Asia.
- The country, Kazakhstan, entertains stable good working multilateral relations with Europe, Russia and China.

The Astana Centre should be the executive-arm of the Association and of the Journal. It would be the permanent secretariat of the global coordination of the Biocosmological Initiative and provide the state of the art telecommunication and

information technology's facilities and infrastructures required for the global networking of the members and institutional partners of the BI.

Embedded inside the academic environment of the Eurasian University, which actually offer an impressive number of graduated programmes, the Centre could develop and offer an original doctoral program on Biocosmology Studies.

It is evident that following the pre-feasibility evaluation of the real potential of reaching a future agreement of partnership with the Eurasian University, a complete strategic plan of the future *International Centre on Biocosmology Studies* must be elaborated and discussed with the ENU and the Kazakhstan Government.

The *Veliky Novgorod International Seminar of Biocosmology Studies* should be the site of a periodical scholar meeting that would focus on the key issues and future of Biocosmological studies. The high quality papers that would be presented at this recurrent scientific meeting should be published in a serial edition of books (in partnership with a high standard scientific publishing house) and would become the expanding body of knowledge on the critical investigation of the field of Biocosmology. The scope of the required financing to support on a sustainable basis the existence of the *International Centre on Biocosmology Studies* and its related activities, the Association and the Journal, and the periodical conferences and publications is significant but not so important given the hypothesis of a potential partnership inside the Eurasian University.

Orientation and axis for research

The general orientation of the BioCosmology Initiative should be devoted to the advancement of scientific knowledge for the apprehension of the life and the intelligence of our cosmos. I presented in a recent paper¹ a preliminary description of the future orientation that Biocosmology scientific research should be looking for in order to assume its cultural role as an alternative worldview to the materialistic positivist perspective dominant in normal science and actual philosophy.

A preliminary list of research thematic would include the following:

- The foundational concepts of the research perspective: triadicity, duality and unicity; spherical architecture of the cosmos; etc.
- The knowledge paths of knowledge for the integral apprehension and understanding of the cosmos.
- The formative-forces of the cosmos.
- The comparative history of the understanding of cosmic realities in the different cultures.
- The organicist logic and dynamic.

In conclusion, the Biocosmology Initiative reached a point where a debate on its foundations and the finalities of its field of study would benefit to its orientation and its institutionalization. A living and flexible institutionalization would certainly bring more sustainability and a broader scope of performance for the development of an

¹ Beaulieu, Paul (2014), "Future orientation of Biocosmological studies".

alternative worldview and knowledge path for the understanding of the living cosmos.

